

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.5397 OF 2025

Nipun Vimalkumar SanchetiPetitioner

Versus

Union of India and Ors.Respondents

Ms. Riya Gokalgandhi a/w. Mr. Abhineet Sharma i/b. Chambers of
Abhineet for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rui Rodrigues a/w. Ms. Gargi Warunjikar for Respondent Nos.1
and 2.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.

DATE : 30th MARCH, 2026

P.C. :-

1. This is a motion for speaking to the minutes of the
order dated 3rd December, 2025. In paragraph no.6 of the said order,
we have directed as under :

*6. In view of the above and without laying down
any precedent, we direct Respondent No.1, to the
extent of Rs.1 lakh, and Respondent No.2, to the
extent of Rs.2 lakh, to refund the said amounts to
the Petitioner by following the same bank
transaction trail by which the amounts was
deposited, within a period of 30 days.*

2. We have perused paragraph nos.6.2 and 6.17 of the Petition paper book. It indicates that the Petitioner had deposited Rs.2 lakhs as refundable security deposit with Respondent No.1 and Rs.1,03,000/- with Respondent No.2.

3. In view of the above, the learned Advocate for the Union of India submits that an appropriate order be passed.

4. As such, paragraph no.6 of the order dated 3rd December, 2025 stands corrected as under :

6. In view of the above and without laying down any precedent, we direct Respondent No.1, to the extent of Rs.2 lakhs, and Respondent No.2, to the extent of Rs.1 lakh, to refund the said amounts to the Petitioner by following the same bank transaction trail by which the amounts were deposited, within a period of 30 days.

5. Original order be corrected and the corrected order be uploaded.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)