

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.3107 OF 2025**

Shiv Chandra Shetty Petitioner

Versus

The Municipal Corporation
of Greater Mumbai & ors. Respondents

Mr. Prathamesh Bhargude with Ms. Babita Kesharwani i/b. K. Amol
for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rutuja Bodake, for the Respondent – Corporation.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.
DATE : 22th JANUARY, 2026**

P.C. :-

1. We have briefly heard the learned Advocates for the
Petitioner and the Respondent Corporation.

2. The learned Advocate for the Corporation submits that
because the Petitioner did not tender the relevant documents despite
being repeatedly made aware of the requirement to furnish the same,
the Application for regularization has been rejected. It is an online
process. Thereafter, the Petitioner once again submitted an online
proposal through the licensed Surveyor for the proposed
amalgamation, and addition / alteration of shop Nos.21 to 28 on the
ground floor of the existing building. The proposal has been

referred back to the licensed Surveyor for compliance of tendering the requisite documents. Such documents were not submitted. The Petitioner again submitted a proposal on 29th May 2023, without the requisite documents. Therefore, the proposal was rejected.

3. The learned Advocate for the Corporation submits that as on date, no Application of the Petitioner is pending.

4. We direct the Corporation to serve all the rejection orders that they have passed against the Petitioner, within five working days from today. Thereafter, the Petitioner is at liberty to avail of a remedy of filing an Appeal as is permissible in law. Time spent by the Petitioner in this Court from the date of the filing of this Petition on 3rd July 2025, till the passing of this order, shall be excused if the issue of limitation arises.

5. In view of the above, **this Petition is disposed off.**

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)