



enuka

13-ARBAP-272-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO. 272 OF 2025

WITH

ARBITRATION PETITION(L) NO. 1134 OF 2026

Gaurav Ghai

...Applicant/Petitioner

V/s.

Ravi Ghai and Ors.

...Respondent

WITH

ARBITRATION PETITION(L) NO. 20092 OF 2025

Ravi Iqbal Ghai

...Petitioner

V/s.

Vimadalal And Co

...Respondent

Dr. Birendra Saraf, Senior Advocate with Mr. Parag Khandhar, Mr. Tapan Radkar and Ms. Zara Dhanbhoora i/b DSK Legal, for Petitioner in ARBPL/1134 /2026 and for Applicant in ARBAO/272/2025 and for Respondent No. 5 in ARBPL/20092/2025.

Mr. Hrishikesh P. Giri (Through VC) with Mr. Sachin Gade, Mr. Rahul Thakur and Mr. Akshay Kumar, for Respondent No. 1.

Mr. Dharam Jumani with Mr. Munaf Virjee, Ms. Shruti Salian, Ms. Anuya Pathare and Mr. Pranav Shetty i/b AMR Law, for Respondent No. 5 in ARBAPL/1334/2026 and Respondent No. 2 in ARBPL/20092/2025.

Mr. Simil Purohit, Senior Advocate with Mr. Rubin Vakil i/b Gajria and Co., for Respondent No. 1 in ARBPL/20092/2025.

Ms. Dhruvi Mehta with Ms. Janhavi Kapgate i/b Wadia Ghandy and Co., for Respondent No. 2 in ARBPL/20092/2025.

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATED: 08 APRIL 2026.

P.C.:

1) The learned counsel appears on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1, 3 and 5. Once again request for adjournment is made mainly on behalf of the Respondent No. 1.

2) It is seen that, the matter is adjourned from time to time on the request of Respondent No. 1. By way of last chance, hearing of the Petitions is deferred till 16 April 2026. It is made clear that no further request for adjournment shall be entertained at the behest of Respondents.

[SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.]