

Before : Anil H. Laddhad,
Prothonotary & Senior Master
Date : 06th May, 2026

FOR HEARING AND FINAL DISPOSAL :

48 CHOL/348/2026) Ms. Gayatri Khanvilkar i/b. Vinod Joshi,
in) Advocate for the applicant.
WPL/40506/2022)
) Ms. Aditi jain i/b. Sriram Sridharan, Advocate
) for respondent no. 1.
)
) Ms. Bushra Moughal i/b. Ashok Shetty,
) Advocate for respondent no. 2 (Later on
) present)
)
) P.C.: The present Chamber Order is e-filed by the
) applicant for setting aside Order dated
) 04.03.2024, rejecting captioned Petition for
) non-compliance of office objections under
) O.S.Rule 986.
)
) Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders
) Affidavit of Service, showing service of
) Chamber Order upon respondents, which is
) taken on record.
)
) Heard both the sides. Ld. Advocate for the
) applicant stated that they have already
) removed office objections. However, neither
) there is compliance praecipe nor justification
) placed on record. Looking to the office
) objections raised and Order passed in the
) matter, in my view, the Chamber Order can be
) allowed by saddling cost on the applicant.
) Hence, in the interest of justice, present
) Chamber Order is granted as prayed subject to
) payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/- to be paid to
) respondent no. 1.
)
) Upon payment of cost, captioned Petition be
) restored to file, and the petitioner to remove
) office objections of the Petition and get the
) same registered/numbered by filing/uploading
) compliance praecipe within four weeks from
) the date of payment of cost, failing Petition to
) stand rejected for non-compliance of O.S.Rule
) 986.

Date : 06.05.2026

Prothonotary and Senior Master