

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5382 OF 2024

Gayatri Panwar

... Petitioner

vs.

The Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai and Others

... Respondents

...

Mr.Mihir Desai, Senior Advocate with Ms.Rishika Agarwal for the
Petitioner.

Ms.Pushpa Yadav for Respondent No.1 – Municipal Corporation.

Mr.Vinay Kanodia with Mr.Vikas Pandey, Mr.Mahavir Deshlahra and
Mr.Vikas Pandey for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

Mr.Daivat Narayan Kulye, AO (Office) I.C. is present.

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
ABHAY MANTRI, JJ.**

DATE : 06 APRIL, 2026

PC:

1. A similar Writ Petition No. 5377 of 2024 (Dilkesh M.
Singh Versus The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai and
Others) has been disposed off, since his grievance was redressed.

2. In this Petition, the learned Advocate for the Municipal
Corporation, Mrs. Pushpa Yadav, submits, on instructions, that the
Petitioner, Gayatri Panwar, will be held eligible for pensionary and

retirement benefits from 2007 onwards. All arrears would be calculated and paid to the Petitioner by depositing the amounts in her bank account, on or before 30.04.2026. The arrears of pension would also be deposited in her bank account on the same day. Her regular pension, as per pay scale fixation in terms of the 6th Pay Commission recommendations, would start from the month of May 2026.

3. We are listing this Petition, in the urgent supplementary board, on **09.04.2026** for passing orders.

4. The Municipal Corporation shall inform us as to why the benefits of the 7th Pay Commission recommendations have still not been paid for ten years when the said Commission recommendations have already lived their life up to 31.12.2025.

(ABHAY MANTRI, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)