

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.5362 OF 2024

ALONG WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.471 OF 2021

Maheshkumar G. Garodia

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra,

Through Urban Development Department

.. Respondent

ALONG WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (LODGING) NO.31719 OF 2025

AND

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.408 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.5362 OF 2024

The Union of India,

Through Ministry of Law & Justice

.. Applicant

Mumbai Metropolitan Region

Development Authority

.. Applicant

Mr. Aspi Chinoy, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Aditya Bapat, Mr. Shehzad A.K. Najam-es-sani, Advocates, i/by Maneksha & Sethna, for the Petitioner.

Ms. Jyoti Chavan, Additional Government Pleader with Mr. Himanshu Takke, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent Nos.1 and 2-State of Maharashtra.

Mr. Subit Chakrabarti with Mr. Raghav Taneja and Ms. Aashka Vora, Advocates, i/by Viddhi Partners, for Respondent No.3-MMRDA.

Mr. Anil C. Singh, Additional Solicitor General, with Mr. R.V. Govilkar, Senior Advocate, Mr. Rui Rodrigues, Mr. Aditya Thakkar, Mr. D.P. Singh, Mr. Adarsh Vyas, Mr. Gauraj Shah, Mr. Krish Kant, Mr. Rajdatt Nagre and Mr. Ranjeet Kamal, Advocates for the Applicants-Original Respondent Nos.5 to 7.

**CORAM : SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, CJ. &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.**

DATE : 13TH FEBRUARY 2026.

P.C. :

A common judgment in both these writ petitions is delivered in the open Court in the presence of the learned senior counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Solicitor General appearing for the respondent nos.5 to 7. Both the writ petitions are dismissed.

2. Let the original signed judgment be kept in the records.

3. At this stage, Mr. Aspi Chinoy, the learned senior counsel for the petitioner appearing in both the writ petitions makes a prayer for maintaining status-quo for six weeks, a statement in this regard was made by the learned Advocate General on 8th May 2025 in the present proceedings.

4. Writ Petition No.4769 of 2024 filed by the Union of India challenging the order dated 17th April 2023 has been dismissed as withdrawn. We have also recorded a finding that the writ petition filed by the petitioner challenging the orders dated 1st October 2020 and 17th April 2023 shall not be maintainable and, in view thereof, the prayer for maintaining status-quo for a period of six weeks is declined.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[CHIEF JUSTICE]