

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 2421/2022****ALONGWITH****NOTICE NO. 786/2025****IN****EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 2421/2022****SUDARAM FINANCE LTD****..... APPLICANT****VS****RAMESH RAMLAKHAN YADAV & ANR.****..... RESPONDENTS**

Adv. Narayan Awate for the Applicant.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.**DATE : 12 FEBRUARY, 2026****P.C. :-**

1) Learned counsel appearing for the claimant submits that the execution proceedings arise out of an Arbitral Award, where the Arbitrator's appointment was unilateral. Hence, he on instructions of his client seeks leave to withdraw the present execution application, with liberty to initiate fresh arbitration proceedings.

2) I have taken a view in the judgment of **L & T Finance Ltd. vs. Sangeeta Bhansali & Anr. in Commercial Execution Application (L) No. 5277 of 2022**, considering the latest judgment of the Supreme Court decided on 5 January, 2026, in the matter of **Bhadra**

International (India) Pvt. Ltd. and others vs. Airport Authority of India, Civil Appeal No. 37-38 of 2026, wherein it has been held that even at the stage of execution, an arbitral award can be set aside being *void-ab-initio* on the grounds that the appointment of the arbitrator was unilateral.

3) Leave and liberty as prayed for, is granted.

3.1) The Arbitral Award dated which is subject matter of the present proceedings is hereby declared to be non-est in law, non-executable and *void-ab-initio*.

4) **Execution Application** stands **disposed of** as withdrawn.

5) In sequel, **the Notice and Interim Applications**, if any, also stand **disposed of**.

6) It shall be open for the parties to initiate fresh arbitration proceedings in accordance with law.

7) As far as limitation is concerned, the period from the invocation of the arbitration till today shall stand excluded for the purpose of initiating fresh arbitration proceedings.

[RAJESH S. PATIL, J.]