

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 721 OF 2024

Ajit Vishnu Narvekar

... Petitioner

Versus

Asstt. Commissioner (Estates) & Ors.

... Respondents

Dr. Uday Warunjikar a/w. Mr. Sumit Kate i/b Aditya Kharkar for the
Petitioner.

Adv. K. H. Mastakar for Respondent Nos.1 to 4-BMC.

Mr. Bhavik Manek i/b Mr. Sharad Wakchoure for Respondent Nos.5 & 6.

CORAM : M. S. KARNIK AND
FARHAN P. DUBASH, JJ.

DATED : 22nd APRIL, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. During the pendency of the petition, a revised Letter of Intent (LoI) has been issued by the Mumbai Municipal Corporation which satisfies the grievance of the petitioner. Learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 5 and 6- Developers on instructions submitted that they would abide by the revised LoI dated 3rd February, 2026 which is placed on record and marked 'X-1' for identification. The name of the petitioner finds place as an eligible hutment dweller in the revised LoI. In view of the statement made by the developers that they would honour the conditions of the revised LoI, nothing survives for further consideration in this petition.

3. Recording the statement made by respondent Nos.5 and 6-Developers, the petition is disposed of.
4. In case, any grievance remains, it is open for the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the authorities or approach this Court by way of appropriate proceedings.
5. Liberty to that extent is kept open.
6. In view of aforesaid order, the interim order stands vacated.

(FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.)

(M. S. KARNIK, J.)