

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 3294 OF 2024**

K. A. Salauddin

... Petitioner

V/s.

Mumbai Mahanagarpalika & Ors.

... Respondents

WITH**INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 26980 OF 2024****IN****WRIT PETITION NO. 3294 OF 2024****WITH****CONTEMPT PETITION (L) NO. 36312 OF 2024****IN****INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 26980 OF 2024**

Mr. Gauraj Shah a/w Adv. Aakansha Anand i/b Mahesh Menon & Co. for Petitioner.

Mr. Anoop Patil a/w Ms. Anuja Tirmali for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4-BMC in WP and IA.

Ms. Anuja Tirmali for Respondent Nos. 6 to 9-BMC in Contempt Petition.

Mr. Himanshu Takke, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

Adv. Yahya Ghoghari a/w Mr. Manish Acharya i/b LR & Associates for Respondent No.8.

Mr. Sachin Sangale, AE DP present.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.**

DATE : 4th February 2026

P.C. :

- 1) Present Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, impugning the Order passed by quasi-judicial Authority is not maintainable in law.
- 2) Learned Advocate appearing for Respondent No.8 submitted that, the Petitioner has already preferred an Appeal, under Section 247 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966, against the impugned Order dated 26th July 2021, before the Divisional Commissioner, Mumbai.
- 3) In view thereof, learned Advocate for Petitioner on instructions seeks leave to withdraw Petition with liberty to pursue the alternate statutory remedy already availed by him, before the concerned Authority.
- 3.1) Leave and liberty granted.
- 4) Petition is disposed off as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
- 5) In view of disposal of Petition, Interim Application (L) No. 26980 of 2024 filed therein, does not survive and is accordingly disposed off.

CONTEMPT PETITION (L) NO. 36312 OF 2024

- 6) In view of Order passed in WP No. 3294 of 2024, Contempt Petition (L) No. 36312 of 2024, does not survive and is accordingly disposed off.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)