IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 33681 OF 2026

IN

COMMERCIAL IP SUIT NO. 114 OF 2026

Fibovil Pharmaceutical Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. … Applicant

(Defendant No.1)

In the matter between

PRACHI PRANESH NANDIWADEKAR
Digitally signed by PRACHI PRANESH NANDIWADEKAR
Date: 2026.10.16 11:43:37 +0530

Sun Pharma Industries Ltd. & Anr. ...Plaintiffs

V/s.

Fibovil Pharmaceutical Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Defendants

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 28961 OF 2026

IN

COMMERCIAL IP SUIT NO. 114 OF 2026

Unispeed Pharmaceutical Pvt. Ltd. … Applicant

(Defendant No.7)

In the matter between

Sun Pharma Industries Ltd. & Anr. ...Plaintiffs

V/s.

Fibovil Pharmaceutical Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Defendants

WITH

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO. 29762 OF 2026
IN
COMMERCIAL IP SUIT NO. 114 OF 2026

MMG Healthcare … Applicant
(Defendant No.10)

In the matter between

Sun Pharma Industries Ltd. & Anr. ...Plaintiffs

V/s.

Fibovil Pharmaceutical Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. & Ors. ...Defendants

---

Mr. Rahul R. Dubey a/w Ms. Shradha Patil, Ms. Ayesha i/by Atmaram Patade for the Applicants and for Defendant Nos.1, 7 and 10.

Mr. Hiren Kamod a/w Mr. Nishad Nandkarni, Mr. Aasif Navodia, Ms. Khushboo Jhunjhunwala, Ms. Maitri Asher, Ms. Rohan Lopez, Ms. Rakshita Singh and Ms. Nihala Naiyyira i/by Khaitan & Co., for the Plaintiff.

Mr. Sahil D. Salvi for Defendant Nos.2 & 9.

Mr. Vikas Mishra for Defendant No.4.

Mr. Deepak Bhalerao, 2nd Asstt. to Court Receiver present.

CORAM : MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.

DATED : 15 OCTOBER 2026

P. C. :-

1. These Interim Applications have been filed respectively by Defendant Nos.1, Defendant No.7 and Defendant No.10 seeking

condonation of delay in filing the written statement.

2. As far as Defendant No.1 is concerned, there is delay of 103 days in filing the written statement, as far as Defendant No.7 is concerned, there is delay of 31 days in filing the written statement and as far as Defendant No.10 is concerned, there is delay of 31 days in filing the written statement.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the Plaintiff strongly opposes the said Interim Applications.

4. However, adequate reasons are set out in the respective Interim Applications seeking condonation of delay. Accordingly, the delay in filing the written statements by Defendant No.1, Defendant No.7 and Defendant No.10 is condoned for the reasons set out in the said Interim Applications. The written statements respectively filed by the Defendant Nos.1, 7 and 10 are directed to be taken on record.

5. All the three Interim Applications are accordingly disposed of in above terms.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]