

Rohit Ghuge

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1117 OF 2026
IN
BAIL APPLICATION NO.344 OF 2025

Karimsha Ahmad Shaikh

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

Adv. Sahana Manjesh, Advocate for Applicant.

Ms. Gauri Rao, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 5th MAY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the Respondent-State.
2. By this Application, the applicant is seeking modification of bail order.
3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has been granted bail by this Court (Coram: Ashwin D. Bhobe, J.) by order dated 26th August, 2025, and was directed to furnish P.R. Bond of Rs.10,000/- and one or two sureties in the like amount. But, the applicant could not arrange the surety and he requested that applicant be released on cash bail of the same amount, with liberty to furnish the surety as directed by this Court within one month after release from the jail.

Rohit Ghuge

4. The learned APP strongly objected to allow the application on the ground that offence under section 302 of IPC and relevant section is registered against the applicant. If he is released on bail, he may abscond and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel. Applicant's bail is already granted by this Court. He is behind bars for more than eight months, though bail is granted.

6. Considering these facts, I pass following order.

ORDER

- i) Application is allowed.
- ii) The applicant be released on cash surety of Rs.10,000/- with P.R. Bond of same amount. The applicant shall furnish a surety of Rs.10,000/- within one month after release from jail.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)