

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1041 OF 2026  
IN  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 429 OF 2025**

Sajida Majhar Hussain Shaikh

Alias Sajida Majhar Hussain Shah

... Applicant

Versus.

Central Bureau of Investigation

... Respondent

-----

Ms. Ruchi Singh i/b Neetu Singh, for the Applicant.

Mr. Kuldeep Patil, Anay Joshi, Sumitkumar Nimbalkar, Sanika Joshi, Digvijay Kachare, Sahil Jodhwani, for the Respondent No. 1.

Ms. Anuja Gotad, APP for the Respondent – State.

-----

**CORAM : ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.**

**DATE : 6<sup>th</sup> April, 2026.**

**P.C. :**

1. Heard Ms. Ruchi Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in and Mr Kuldeep Patil, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No.1.

2. Ms. Ruchi Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant (*Ms Sajida Majhar Hussain Shah*) in this Interim Application, submits that the Applicant was enlarged on bail by the Special Judge (CBI), Court Room No. 48, Greater Mumbai, vide Order dated

20.06.2025, passed below Exhibit-6 in Remand Application No. 657 of 2025. She states that Bail Condition No. 2 requires the Applicant to furnish a solvent surety of Rs. 1,00,000/-. The said condition no. 2 in the Order dated 20.06.2025, below Exhibit-6 in Remand Application No. 657 of 2025, is transcribed herein below:

*“2. Applicant accused Ms. Sajida Majhar Hussain Shah be released on bail on her executing solvent surety and Personal Bond in the amount of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh Only).”*

3. Ms. Ruchi Singh states that the solvent surety offered by the Applicant was rejected by the Trial Court. She, on instructions from the Applicant, requests that the time granted by the Trial Court in the order dated 20.06.2025 (Bail condition no. 2) to furnish the solvent surety of Rs. 1,00,000/- be extended by 8 weeks from today. She, again on instructions from the Applicant, undertakes to provide a solvent surety within 8 weeks from today i.e. on or before 02.06.2026.

4. Mr. Kuldeep Patil, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 does not object to the request made by Ms. Ruchi Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant. He, however, submits that, in the event the solvent surety is not furnished within

the period of 8 weeks from today i.e. on or before 02.06.2026, the Applicant will have to surrender.

5. Ms Ruchi Singh, learned Advocate, submits that if the Applicant is unable to furnish the solvent surety of Rs. 1,00,000/- on or before 02.06.2026, then she, on behalf and on specific instructions from the Applicant, makes a statement that the Applicant shall surrender himself before the Trial Court on 03.06.2026. The statement made by Ms Ruchi Singh, learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions, is accepted as a statement to the Court.

6. In view of the above, the time to furnish the solvent surety of Rs. 1,00,000/- as per Bail Condition No. 2 in the Order dated 20.06.2025, detailed below in Exhibit-6 in Remand Application No. 657 of 2025, is extended to 02.06.2026. Failure to furnish the solvent surety on or before 02.06.2026 shall be treated as a breach of the bail condition.

7. Interim Application No. 1041 of 2026 is disposed of.

**(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)**