

Prasad

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO.966 OF 2026
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.3888 OF 2025

Prakash Vikram Jadhav	...Applicant
<i>Versus</i>	
State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Pratiksha Thube, for the Applicant.
Ms. Veera Shinde, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM: R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATED: 9th APRIL, 2026.

PC:-

1. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is granted bail by order dated 10th October 2025 passed in Bail Application No.3888 of 2025. However, he is unable to furnish sureties in the sum of Rs.25,000/- as directed. It is therefore prayed that the Applicant be enlarged on bail on Cash Bail in the sum of Rs.25,000/- upto 30th June 2026.

2. On instructions, learned counsel for the Applicant makes a statement that before the said date, local sureties in the like amount shall be furnished before the Trial Court.

3. Learned APP opposed the application.

4. The Applicant is granted bail by order dated 10th October 2025. His contention about inability to furnish sureties deserves to be accepted as the Applicant would not like to stay behind the bars without any reason. Having regard to the same, the Applicant be enlarged on Cash Bail of Rs.25,000/- till 30th June, 2026.

5. The Applicant shall furnish one or two local sureties in the like amount as directed by this Court in its order dated 10th October 2025 before 30th June 2026.

6. For whatsoever reason the Applicant is unable to furnish said sureties, this order shall stand vacated and the Applicant would be taken into custody.

7. In order to ensure that the Applicant does not go absconding, he is directed to attend the Police Station once in fifteen days till 30th June, 2026.

8. Interim Application stands partially allowed in the above terms and is disposed of.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)