

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3593 OF 2025

Shreeyash Manik Jagtap	...Applicant
<i>Versus</i>	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.130 OF 2026
IN
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3593 OF 2025

Mahesh Nivrutti Gogawale	...Applicant
<u>IN THE MATTER BETWEEN:</u>	
Shreeyash Manik Jagtap	...Applicant
<i>Versus</i>	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Saurabh Ghag, Ms. Dakshata Sawant, Ms. Nikita Chavan, Mr. Siddhant Raul, Ms. Sanghpriya Sarode and Ms. Monali Gadhave, for the Applicant.

Mr. Milind Sathe, Advocate General a/w. Mr. Mankwwar Deshmukh GP & PP a/w. Mr. S. V. Gavand, APP and Ms. Savita Yadav, APP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Harshad Sathe a/w. Mr. Siddharth Mehta and Mr. Vaibhav, for the Applicant in IA/130/2026 in ABA/3593/2025.

Mr. Ramesh B. Tadv, PI, attached to Mahad City Police Station, District-Raigad, present.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.
DATED : 23rd JANUARY 2026

PC:-

1. Pursuant to order dated 16th January 2026 and 17th January 2026, Mr. Sathe, learned Advocate General submits that all the

accused in C.R. No.178 of 2025 registered with Mahad City Police Station, Mahad Taluka, District- Raigad have surrendered. He submits copy of communication addressed by the Assistant Police Inspector, Mahad City Police Station, District-Raigad to the Public Prosecutor. The said copy is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

2. It is required to be noted that in the order dated 16th January 2026, this Court has already expressed that as the offence is very serious and therefore, the Applicant is not entitled for pre-arrest bail. However, as certain submission were made concerning conduct of the police officials and therefore, this Court passed detailed order dated 16th January 2026 and 17th January 2026.

3. As the Court is not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail, Mr. Ghag, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant, on instructions of the Applicant, seeks withdrawal of the Anticipatory Bail Application.

4. Accordingly, the Anticipatory Bail Application is allowed to be withdrawn and dismissed as such.

5. In view of the disposal of the Anticipatory Bail Application, nothing survives in the Interim Application and the same is also disposed of.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]