

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO.13 OF 2026
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.655 OF 2014**

Avinash Vasudeo InamdarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Mr. Dipesh Yadav, Advocate with Mr. Snehil Rai i/b Surendra Yadav for the Applicant.

Mr. Ajay S. Patil, APP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. K. K. Malpathak, Advocate for Respondent No.2

Mr. Shreepad Murthy, Advocate i/b Abhishek Patil for the Applicant in APPP/655/2014.

**CORAM : A. S. GADKARI AND
SHYAM C. CHANDAK, JJ.
DATE : 24th FEBRUARY, 2026.**

P.C.:-

1) Prayer clause (a) of the Petition is not tenable in law.

Prayer clause (b) of the Petition reads as under :-

“(b) Please to issue clarification on the order dated 01/02/2010 in respect of applicability of stay to proceed with trial against Respondent 3.”

2) Perusal of the Order dated 1st February 2010 in Criminal Application No.3436 of 2008, clearly reveals that the said Order is self eloquent and needs no further clarification.

3) Application is accordingly dismissed.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

(A.S. GADKARI, J.)