

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 495 OF 2026

Tushar Tulshiram Chavan

...Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Abhijeet Khandre, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. Supriya Kak, APP for the Respondent/State.

CORAM : N.R. BORKAR, J.
DATE : 25.02.2026.

P.C. :

1. This is an application for Anticipatory Bail.
2. The applicant is apprehending his arrest in Crime No. 78 of 2025 registered at Azad Maidan Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
3. The aforesaid crime came to be registered pursuant to the directions issued by this Court in anticipatory bail application filed by one Haribhau Chemte in a crime registered on the basis of report lodged by one CTR Manufacturing Industries Limited as

forged order of the Judicial Magistrate was produced before this Court in the said anticipatory bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

5. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in relation to the alleged forged order the original complainant CTR Manufacturing Industries Limited had already lodged the report with Vimantal Police Station. It is submitted that in the said crime, the applicant was arrested and was in jail for 69 days. It is further submitted that a Division Bench of this Court has stayed further investigation in the said crime registered by Vimantal Police Station, Pune. It is submitted that, in the present crime, the prosecution has already filed the charge-sheet and thus there is no need of custodial interrogation.

6. On the other hand, the learned APP for the respondent-State submits that the applicant is involved in a serious crime. It is submitted that, considering the nature of crime, the applicant may not be released on anticipatory bail.

7. The learned APP has, however, not disputed the registration of crime by Vimantal Police Station in respect of alleged forged order. The fact that the applicant was arrested in the said crime and was in jail for 69 days is also not disputed. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, I am inclined to release the applicant on anticipatory bail. In the result, the following order is passed :

ORDER

- a) The Application is allowed.
- b) In the event of arrest of the applicant in connection with Crime No. 78 of 2025 registered at Azad Maidan Police Station, for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 340(2) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, the applicant be released on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

[N.R.BORKAR, J.]