

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2273 OF 2026

Prashant Ankush Rupnavar

.... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

.... Respondent

Mr. Rahul S. Kate (through VC) for the Applicant.

Mr. Tanveer Khan, APP for the Respondent – State.

Mr. S.Y. Rajput, GPSI, Yavat Police Station, Pune Rural, present.

CORAM : SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.

DATED : 01st JUNE, 2026

(VACATION COURT)

P.C. :-

1) Present Application seeking for release of the Applicant on bail in connection with C.R.No.364/2026, registered with Yawat Police Station, Pune Rural, for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 118(1), 74, 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 189(2), 190, 191(2) and 191(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on the Report dated 23/04/2026 filed by Mr. Amol Rupnavar-the first informant.

2) Heard Mr. Kate, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. Khan, learned APP for the Respondent – State. Perused the record.

3) The prosecution case is that on 21/04/2026, at about 06:30 p.m., at village Dapoli, while the informant, his mother and his wife were working in their agricultural land, the Applicant and his co-accused assaulted them by means of fist blows and kicks on account of the land dispute. The co-accused Rahul outraged the modesty of the informant's wife and assaulted the informant by means of a stick. The Applicant attempted to assault the informant by means of a sickle with an intent to

cause his murder. Therefore, the informant filed the said report which led to registration of the aforesaid crime. The Applicant was arrested on 23/04/2026. His Bail Application came to be rejected by the Sessions Court, at Baramati. Hence, this Application.

4) The Applicant has not caused any injury to the informant by means of the *alleged Koyta*. The said *Koyta* has been seized. Therefore detention of the Applicant in jail is not necessary for the purpose of further investigation. The learned APP submitted that, in the past, the Applicant has committed an offence under Section 354 of the IPC, but, in that case, the Applicant has been released on bail. The Applicant has been married. His wife and two children are dependent upon him. The trial of the crime will take its own time. The detention of the Applicant in jail will cause undue hardship to his family. The Applicant is a permanent resident of the given address.

5) In view thereof, the Applicant deserves to be released on bail. Thus, I am inclined to allow the Application and pass following Order :-

(a) The Bail Application is allowed.

(b) The Applicant-Prashant Ankush Rupnavar shall be released on bail in connection with C.R.No.364/2026 registered with Yawat Police Station, Pune Rural for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 118(1), 74, 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 189(2), 190, 191(2) and 191(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, on his furnishing P.R. bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one or two sureties in the like amount.

(c) The Applicant shall report to Yawat Police Station, Pune Rural on 1st day of each calendar month between 10:00

a.m. to 02:00 p.m. till further orders.

(d) The Applicant shall attend before the trial Court on each and every date, unless exempted by the trial Court, for reasons to be recorded in writing.

(e) The Applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence and shall not directly or indirectly influence the prosecution witnesses to prevent them from deposing against him.

(f) Before his release from jail, the Applicant shall provide to the police his contact number and the detailed residential address where he would stay after release from jail.

(g) If the Applicant disobeyed any of the above conditions, the bail granted herein shall stand cancelled without further reference to this Court or the trial Court.

6) The Bail Application is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(SHYAM C. CHANDAK, J.)

PREETI
HEERO
JAYANI
Digitally
signed by
PREETI
HEERO
JAYANI
Date:
2026.06.02
15:36:38
+0530