

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2251 OF 2026

Jay Avani Brahmbhatt ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr. Deepak Thakre i/b Mr. Vedang Kulkarni for the Applicant.

Mr. M.S.Sonawane, A.P.P for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Marmik Shah for the Respondent No.2.

PI – Mr. Ghag attached to Malad Police Station, present.

CORAM : SANDESH D. PATIL, J.
DATE : 22nd MAY, 2026
(VACATION COURT)

P.C. :

1. Both the parties have agreed that the matter has been amicably settled between them. In light of the amicable settlement of the parties, the learned Counsel appearing for the first informant / respondent No.2 fairly states that he has no objection if, the application is allowed.

2. Learned APP Mr. Sonawane also does not dispute this

position that the matter was amicably settled by the parties as is reflected in the order passed in Anticipatory Bail Application Nos. 1364 of 2026 and 1362 of 2026.

3. I have perused the copy of the FIR. The allegations against the present applicant is that the applicant and the complainant were to get married. The complainant had alleged that the applicant had usurped fair amount of money from the complainant and that he has not repaid the same. It is alleged that the applicant and his family has caused huge financial loss to the complainant.

4. The applicant was arrested on 25th April, 2026. He is in jail since then. At the time of hearing of the anticipatory bail application bearing No. ABA/1364/2026 and ABA/1362/2026 of the mother and brother of the applicant, it was decided that the matter would be amicably settled and the amount would be returned back to the complainant. Infact, an amount of Rs.25,00,000/- has already been returned by the applicant and his mother back to the complainant. An amount of Rs.42,00,000/- remains to be paid.

5. One of the main consideration for granting of bail is that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties on the representation of the applicant.

6. In light of the understanding recorded and taking into consideration that the amount of Rs.42,00,000/- is to be paid by the applicant to the complainant and further taking into consideration the fact that the parties have amicably settled the matter, further custody of the applicant is not required. Hence, the following order is passed :

ORDER

i) The applicant Jay Avani Brahmhatt be enlarged on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each with one or two local sureties in the like amount;

ii) Pending the furnishing of sureties, the applicant be released on temporary cash bail in the sum of Rs.25,000/-;

iii) The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when called for;

iii) The applicant shall keep the Trial Court informed of his current addresses and mobile contact numbers and/or change of residence or mobile details, if any, from time to time;

iv) The applicant shall not tamper with any evidence/s, influence the witness/s concerned with the case, during the said period.

7. Bail Application is accordingly disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

SANDESH D. PATIL, J.