

Tikam

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO .782 OF 2026

Sagar Datta Yadav

...Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM
Date:
2026.03.17
16:15:54 +0530

Mr. Kishor Newale, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. K.T. Hiwarale, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 16th MARCH, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Not on board. Upon mentioning the Praecipe, taken on production board.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP.
3. This matter is placed by the learned counsel for the Applicant for speaking to the Minutes of the Order dated 06/03/2026.
2. In speaking to the minutes of order dated 06/03/2026, it is stated that there are certain typographical errors have inadvertently crept into the order. It is stated that the C.R. No. and name of the police station have been incorrectly mentioned as **Crime No. 39 of 2025 registered with Wadgaon Nimbalkar Police Station**. The correct particulars are **C.R. No. 85 of 2016 registered with Kamshet Police Station, District Pune**. Similarly, in Clause (ii) of the operative part of the order, the cost has been mentioned

Tikam

as **Rs.5,000/-** payable to Legal Services Authority instead of **Rs.1,000/-**.

3. The order is corrected accordingly and the corrected order be uploaded on the official website of the Bombay High Court.
4. Preacipe is disposed of.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)