

Tikam

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 311 OF 2026

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Ranjit Nathuram Sargar

....Applicant

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra

....Respondent

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM
Date:
2026.04.21
14:42:42
+0530

Mr. Ishan Paradkar, Advocate for Applicant.

Smt. Ranjana D. Humane, APP for Respondent-State.

ACP Sunil Kurade, Wakad Police Station present.

API P.G. Gaijewar, Wakad Police Station present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 16th APRIL, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.

2. By this application, the applicant is seeking regular bail in Crime No. 1021 of 2024 registered with Wakad Police Station, Pune for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 352, 351(2), 3(5) & 238 of Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023, Section 3(5) (27) of the Arms Act, Sections 37(1)(3) and 135 of Maharashtra Police Act, Sections 3 & 7 of Criminal Law Amendment Act and Section 3(1) (ii) and 3(4) of Maharashtra Control of Organized Crime Act, 1999 (for short 'MCOC Act').

3. It is prosecution's case that on 17/09/2024, co-accused fired at

Tikam

the first informant from pistol with intention to kill him. It is alleged that applicant harboured the co-accused and his gang leader and he helped them fleeing away from the spot.

4. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that there are no allegations against the applicant of firing at the first informant. Applicant is behind bars for more than one year and six months. There is no progress in the trial. It may take time to conclude the trial and requested to allow the application.

5. It is contention of learned APP that applicant is the gang leader. He was present at the time of incident. He has antecedents. He submits that if Applicant is released on bail, he may abscond or threaten prosecution witnesses and requested to reject the application. The car used in the crime is seized at the instance of the applicant.

6. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused charge-sheet and documents produced on record.

7. The main allegations of firing are against the co-accused Balu Shinde. There are no allegations against the Applicant of firing at the first informant and his friend. Applicant is behind bars for more than one year and six months. To prove the role of the applicant in crime, evidence is required.

8. Considering these facts, I pass following order:

Tikam

ORDER

- (i) The Applicant- Ranjit Nathuram Sargar be released on bail in Crime No. 1021 of 2024 registered with Wakad Police Station, Pune, on furnishing PR bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.
- (ii) The Applicant shall not tamper with the evidence and/or influence the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits and in accordance with law, uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.
- (iv) The Applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when required.
- (v) Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)