

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.2249 OF 2026

X Y Z	)..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra	)	
Through Public Prosecutor & Ors.	)..	Respondents

Mr. Vishal Khetre a/w Mr. Swapnil Kadam for the Petitioner.
Ms. Supriya Kak, APP for Respondent No.1-State.
Mr. V.M. Mote, Police Sub-Inspector, Sakinaka Police Station, Mumbai.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ**

DATE : 5th MAY 2026

P.C. :

1) The learned A.P.P. for Respondent No.1-State, on instructions, states that they will record a fresh statement of the Petitioner, which she needs to sign and then the Police Officer may decide whether the cognizable offence is made out and whether the F.I.R. is required to be registered or not. She is seeking an adjournment for that purpose.

2) The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that a reasonable notice be given to the Petitioner, so that she can come from

Uttar Pradesh to Mumbai. The Senior Inspector of Police of the Sakinaka Police Station, Mumbai, shall give notice for a reasonable period to the Petitioner and shall take steps in accordance with law.

- 3) The matter be listed on 18th June, 2026.
- 4) Liberty to mention, in case of urgency before the Vacation Bench.

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)