

Tikam

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1388 OF 2026

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Nitin Rajaram Vichare
Vs.

...Petitioner

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM
Date:
2026.05.05
11:56:38 +0530

Mr. Apurv V. Singh a/w. Mr. Hriday H. Surve, Advocate for Petitioner.
Ms. Gauri Rao, APP for Respondent-State.
Mr. Vinit Jain, Advocate for Respondent No.2 (through VC).
PSI Vikas R. Jadhav, Bhandup Police Station, Mumbai present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 4th May, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner, learned APP and learned counsel for Respondent No.2.
2. By this petition, the petitioner is seeking no objection certificate of this Court for issuance of passport.
3. It is contention of learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Passport Authority has issued passport to the Petitioner, but, Passport Authority requires no objection certificate of this Court and requested to allow the Petition.
4. It is contention of learned counsel appearing for Passport Authority that Petitioner has suppressed the fact that criminal complaint pending against him. Hence, fresh notice issued against him. If Petitioner

Tikam

files fresh application for issuance of passport, the Passport Authority decides the same on its own merit and requested to pass appropriate order.

5. Learned APP submits that appropriate order be passed.

6. I have heard all learned counsel. Considering submissions of all learned counsel, I pass following order:

ORDER

(i) Petition is allowed.

(ii) Petitioner shall file fresh application for renewal of passport before the Passport Authority. The Passport Authority shall decide the application on its own merit without seeking no objection of this Court.

(iii) Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)