

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1380 OF 2026

Aparna Ganesh MorePetitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.Respondents

Mr. Dilip Bodake (through video conferencing) a/w. Mr. Shreeniwas
Magar for the Petitioner.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for the Respondent, the State.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, ACJ.

&

GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.

DATE : 8th JUNE, 2026

P.C. :-

1. The Petitioner is a Law Graduate and claims to be a law practitioner. According to the Petitioner, certain persons have illegally acquired the benefit of the 'Mukhyamantri – Mazi Ladaki Bahin Yojana'. According to the Petitioner, the said persons have indulged in a fraud and have defrauded the State Government. The Petitioner claims that, because she is a taxpayer, legal injury has been caused to her by the said persons.

2. We find that this Petition is not filed in the nature of a PIL. The Petitioner has preferred a Criminal Writ Petition. The

persons against whom allegations are made have not been arrayed as parties to the Petition. A prayer is made that a crime may be registered against the said five persons.

3. Considering the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sakiri Vasu v/s. State of Uttar Pradesh and Ors.*¹ and *Sudhir Bhaskarrao Tambe v/s. Hemant Yashwant Dhage and Ors.*², we are not inclined to entertain this Petition. If the Petitioner has a grievance against the said five persons and desires that an FIR be registered against them, filing of a Writ Petition is not the remedy. If the Petitioner desires, she may approach the concerned Police Station and initiate appropriate steps.

4. In view of the above, **this Petition is dismissed.**

(GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.)

(ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

1 AIR 2008 SC 907

2 (2016) 6 SCC 217