

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1339 OF 2026

Rohan Prakash Patekar & Anr.Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Ms. Meghna Gowalani for the Petitioners.

Mrs. M.M. Deshmukh, In-charge PP for the Respondent, the State.

Mr. Krushnakant Upadhyay, DCP, Zone-II present.

Mr. Vilas Rane, Senior Police Inspector, Mr. Vilas Rathod, Police Inspector and Mr. Deepak Lekurwale, Police Inspector, N.M. Joshi Marg Police Station, Mumbai present.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE

&

HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 8th MAY, 2026

P.C. :-

1. Mr. Krushnakant Upadhyay, DGP, Zone-II along with Mr. Vilas Rane, Senior Police Inspector, Mr. Vilas Rathod, Police Inspector and Mr. Deepak Lekurwale, Police Inspector, N.M. Joshi Marg Police Station, Mumbai are present in the Court hall to assist the learned in-charge PP.

2. We have heard the matter in the backdrop of the photographs placed on record. Some of the photographs indicate that the Petitioner was beaten by a group of people, due to which he

fell down and his clothes were torn. In the photographs, the Petitioner is seen more than half naked.

3. What is tried to be conveyed to us is that one man assaulted half a dozen people, who thereafter approached the Police Station and got their FIR registered on 6th October, 2025 bearing No.0590 of 2025 with N.M. Joshi Marg Police Station.

4. The photographs placed before us indicate that the Petitioner was beaten by half a dozen people and that, one of them, Vijay Gupta, was holding a bottle in his hand, while Pankaj Masurekar was holding two wooden sticks. This is clearly visible from the photographs placed before us.

5. The Officers present in the Court hall instruct the learned in-charge PP to make a statement that the FIR would be registered. The DCP, Mr. Upadhyay, who is present in the Court hall, submits that the FIR would be registered by the concerned SHO of N.M. Joshi Marg Police Station.

6. In view of the above statement being recorded, the learned Advocate for the Petitioner submits that the Petition may be

disposed off.

7. In view of the above, **this Petition is disposed off.**

8. Needless to state, if any cause of action occurs in future by which the Petitioner is aggrieved, the Petitioner may take recourse to such a remedy as is permissible in law.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)