

Tikam

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 155 OF 2026

Hafiz Sharif

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. P.G. Sarda, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. P.P. Jadhav, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 21st APRIL, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.
2. By this application, the applicant is seeking relaxation of bail condition.
3. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that applicant has been released on bail on furnishing two local solvent sureties of Rs. 60,000/- each and PR bond in the like amount. It is further contention of learned counsel that this Court has released the co-accused on bail on furnishing PR Bond of Rs.25,000/-. He submits that the same condition be imposed on the applicant and requested to allow the Application.
4. Learned APP strongly objected to allow the application on the

Tikam

ground that Applicant is resident of Haryana. If Applicant is released on bail, he may abscond and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel and perused impugned order. This court has released the co-accused on bail on furnishing PR Bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.

6. Considering this fact, I pass following order:

ORDER

- (i) Application is allowed.
- (ii) The condition imposed on the applicant to furnish two local solvent sureties of Rs. 60,000/- each and PR bond in the like amount is hereby relaxed.
- (iii) Applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.
- (iv) Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)