

Tikam

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 154 OF 2026**

Hafiz Sharif

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

**VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM**

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM

Date: 2026.04.24
12:56:31 +0530

Mr. P.G. Sarda, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. S.S. Pednekar, APP for Respondent-State.

GPSI A.B. Khomane, Urudi Kanchan Police Station, Pune Rural present

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 21st APRIL, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.
2. By this application, applicant is seeking relaxation of bail condition.
3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that applicant has been released on bail on furnishing two local solvent surety of Rs.60,000/- each and PR bond in the like amount. This Court has released the co-accused on bail of surety of Rs.25,000/-, the same condition be imposed on the applicant and requested to allow the application.
4. Learned APP strongly objected to allow the application on the ground that Applicant is resident of Haryana. If he is released on bail, he

Tikam

may abscond and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused impugned order. This Court has released the co-accused on bail on furnishing surety of Rs.25,000/-. Considering this fact, I pass following order:

ORDER

- (i) Application is allowed.
- (ii) The condition imposed on the applicant to furnish two local solvent sureties of Rs. 60,000/- each and PR bond in the like amount is hereby relaxed.
- (iii) Applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing P.R. Bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount.
- (iv) Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)