

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3722 OF 2026  
IN  
WRIT PETITION (ST.) NO. 35259 OF 2025**

Union of India & Anr. ... Applicants

**IN THE MATTER BETWEEN**

Union of India & Anr. ... Petitioners

versus

Samir Vinod Yadav ... Respondent

.....

Ms.Samiksha R.Kanani for the Applicants.

Mr.Samir Singh for the Respondent (through VC).

.....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, ACJ. &  
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.**

**DATE : 11 JUNE, 2026**

**P.C.:**

1. The Applicants are the original Petitioners. The Petition has been dismissed for default since the office objections were not removed.

2. The Interim Application has been amended with the leave of the Court. A delay of 33 days is caused in filing the present Application. The Petition was dismissed for default at the pre-notice stage. The delay of 33 days, as calculated by the Registry, is neither deliberate nor inordinate.

3. The learned Advocate for the Original Appellant, the Respondent herein, vehemently opposes the Application for restoration, contending that the delay of 33 days has not been properly explained.

4. Considering the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Collector, Land Acquisition, Anantnag v/s Mst. Katiji & Ors, AIR 1987 SC 1353* and *Esha Bhattacharjee v/s Managing Committee of Raghunathpur Nafar Academy, (2013) 12 SCC 649*, the **Interim Application is allowed** with the following directions :

(a) All office objections shall be removed, on or before 25.06.2026;

(b) After all office objections are removed, the Writ Petition would stand restored at the stage at which it was dismissed.

5. After compliance of the above directions, list this Petition, in the urgent supplementary board, on **02.07.2026**.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE]