

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3225 of 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4395 OF 2004**

Amjad A Shahbazker

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr Murari Madekar i/b M/s Madekar and Co., for the Petitioner.
Ms Neha S Bhide a/w Ms Shruti D Vyas a/w Mr Karan S Thorat,
AGP, for the Respondent / State.

**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.
DATED : 28th APRIL, 2026**

P.C.

1. In the petition admitted on 4 March 2005, the petitioner has filed an Interim Application seeking an amendment.
2. We have heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and perused the original writ petition which seek cancellation of the impugned mutation entries made in the revenue records of the land and seeking a direction to the respondents to process the application submitted by the petitioner / owners of land for its developer.

3. The petition having been admitted, since during its pendency, there is pronouncement of the Apex Court in case of Rohan Vijay Nahar Vs State of Maharashtra, (2026) 2 SCC 182 and the petitioner want to press into service the ground set out in the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court, he seek amendment in the petition in terms of the Schedule appended to the application.

4. We see no difficulty in granting the said application as the petition is already admitted and the authoritative pronouncement of the Apex Court will decide the entitlement of the petitioner as claimed in the Writ Petition.

5. The Interim Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). The petition is permitted to be amended as per Schedule. Let the amendment be carried out within a period of two weeks and amended copy of the petition shall be served upon the learned Government Advocate, who is at liberty to file the reply affidavit, if necessary. List the amended petition four weeks thereafter.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.) (BHARATI DANGRE, J.)