

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.566 OF 2025

Munira Mhamed Valji	Applicant
V/S	
Yashmin Amirali Punjani & Ors.	Respondents

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2662 OF 2026
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.566 OF 2025**

Munira Mhamed Valji	Applicant
V/S	
Yashmin Amirali Punjani & Ors.	Respondents

Mr. Kunal Bhanage with Mr. Akshay Pawar and Mr. Vasim Siddiqui *for the Applicant.*

Mr. Parth Turakhia i/b AAK Legal *for Respondent No.4.*

**CORAM : SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.
DATE : 16 APRIL 2026.**

P.C.:

1. Leave granted to correct the name of Respondent No.1 in Memo of Civil Revision Application as well as in Interim Application. Amendment be carried out forthwith. Reverification dispensed with.

2. Interim Application is for seeking leave for effecting service on Respondent No.1 through the constituted attorney Shiraz Gulam Husain Bookwala. Respondent No.1 is the sister of the Applicant. In the RAD Suit, declaration was sought for joint tenancy in favour of Applicant and

Respondent No.1. In that sense, Respondent No.1 does not have any adverse interest in the case against the Applicant. It appears that even before the Appellate Court, Respondent No.1 was represented through constituted attorney. In that view of the matter, Interim Application is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) which reads thus:

“a) This Hon’ble Court be pleased to grant leave to the Applicant to serve the Respondent No.1 by effecting service on Power of Attorney Holder of Respondent No.1 namely Shiraz Gulam Husain Bookwala at his address 92/1, 64 Holy View, J.B. Shah Marg, Mumbai – 400 009;”

3. Registry to issue notice to Shiraz Gulam Husain Bookwala, returnable on **30 June 2026**.

4. The Interim Application is **disposed of**.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.)