

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**REVIEW PETITION NO.52 OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.11555 OF 2025**

Gajanan Satish Vandarne and Ors.Review Petitioners/
Original Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.Respondents

Mr. Suresh Pakale, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr. Nilesh Desai i/b.
Ms. Padmaja Malgaonkar for the Petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. GP a/w. Ms. Nisha Mehra, AGP for
Respondent No.1.

Mr. Kedar B. Dighe for Respondent Nos.2 to 4.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

DATE : 30th MARCH, 2026

P.C. :-

1. Having considered the submissions of the learned Senior Advocate on behalf of the Petitioners and the learned Advocate on behalf of the Corporation, along with the learned Addl. GP, we find that paragraph no.21 of our judgment dated 23rd December, 2025 is in clear terms and there is no ambiguity.

2. The learned Senior Advocate Mr. Pakale submits that the said directions have been violated by the Corporation. We find that,

if that be so, the remedy lies elsewhere. A Review Petition need not be entertained on account of violation of our observations.

3. **The Review Petition is, therefore, disposed off.**

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)