

1. None for the petitioners, even on the second call.
2. This petition challenges an order passed by the Competent Magistrate under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (Securitisation Act). The petitioners are the original borrowers and one of the grievances raised in the petition is that the Competent Magistrate did not hear them before passing the impugned order. It is settled law that the Competent Magistrate under Section 14 of the Securitisation Act, performs a ministerial act and there is no question of granting hearing to the borrowers.
3. The borrowers indeed have a statutory remedy under the

provisions of the Securitisation Act to approach the Debts Recovery Tribunal to ventilate their grievances.

4. In view of the above, no ground is made out for entertaining the present petition and accordingly, it is dismissed.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)