

bdp

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5680 OF 2026**

Tandon Urban Solutions

Pvt. Ltd. through

Amit Kumar Rajhans

.... Petitioner.

V/s

Municipal Corporation for

City of Vasai Virar and Ors.

.... Respondents.

Mr. Balbir Singh, senior counsel with Mr. Zal Tehmtan Andharyjina, senior counsel, Mr. Naman Tandon, Ms. Apurva Mehra, Mr. V.G. Sreeram, Mr. Nagendra Dube, advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Kakade, advocate for the respondent nos. 1 to 3-Corporation.

Ms. Vandana Patil, Law Officer of Vasai-Virar Municipal Corporation, present.

**CORAM : SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR, CJ &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.**

DATE : 7TH MAY 2026

P.C. :

In the morning session this matter was taken up for hearing and heard for sometime. Mr. Balbir Singh, the learned senior counsel for the petitioner referred to notice dated 17th March 2026, reply dated 27th March 2026 and e-mail communication from the petitioner-company on 13th April 2026 and submitted that no proper opportunity of hearing was provided to the petitioner-company. The reply submitted by the petitioner-company was not considered and the impugned decision to terminate the contract and blacklist the petitioner-company for three years with other consequences was

taken.

2. After recess, Mr. P.P. Kakade, the learned counsel for the respondent-Municipal Corporation for City of Vasai Virar makes a statement in the Court on the basis of the instructions received from Ms. Vandana Patil, Law Officer Vasai Virar Municipal Corporation, who has taken telephonic instructions from the Additional Municipal Commissioner, that an opportunity of hearing shall be granted to the petitioner-company.

3. If that is the understanding of the respondent-Municipal Corporation, in our opinion, the impugned order dated 15th April 2025 requires interference by this Court, the simple reason is that the respondent-Corporation admits now that no proper opportunity of hearing was given to the petitioner-company. In view thereof, we are not required to narrate the facts of this case.

4. Therefore, the impugned order dated 15th April 2025 is quashed and the matter is remitted back to the respondent-Authority to take a decision in the matter after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner-company.

5. Writ Petition No.5680 of 2026 stands allowed to the aforesaid extent.

[GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.]

[CHIEF JUSTICE]

BHARAT
DASHARATH
PANDIT

Digitally signed by
BHARAT
DASHARATH PANDIT
Date: 2026.05.08
18:32:25 +0530