

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5522 OF 2026

Navnath Dagadu Madhavi .. Petitioner
V/S.

The State Of Maharashtra Thrrthr .. Respondents
Secretary and Ors

Mr. M. Khan i.by E.A. Sasi with Arnav Rane and Bijay C., for Petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Sinha with Sohan Bhalerao and Harshit Tyagi i/by DSK Legal, for
Respondent No.4-CIDCO.

Mr. Rohit Jadhav i/by Vishal Shirke, for Respondent No. 6- Navi Mumbai
Municipal Corporation.

Mr. Sanjeev Kadam, Senior Advocate with Vishal Patil, for Respondent Nos.
7 to 12 and 15 to 18.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : 24TH APRIL 2026.

PC:

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner for some time.
2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for petitioner, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw this petition, and he submits that the petitioner intends to take recourse to such remedies as available in law.
3. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn by recording the

aforesaid statement made on behalf of the petitioner. If the petitioner takes recourse to such remedy, the same shall be decided in accordance with law.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)