

matter as the date for taking physical possession of the secured assets is fixed for tomorrow. Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 Bank (secured creditors) informs this Court that this is the third attempt on the part of the said respondent to take physical possession of the secured assets and on every occasion, the petitioners approached the concerned Tribunal at the eleventh hour to somehow interdict the process sought to be undertaken by the said respondents in accordance with law.

5. We find that the DRAT has considered the rival submissions and thereupon refused ad-interim relief. The interim application is still pending before the DRAT. No ground is made out for exercising the writ jurisdiction in the facts and circumstances of the present case. Hence, Writ Petition is dismissed.

6. However, we find that the DRAT has adjourned further hearing to 14th July, 2026. In the light of the plea made on behalf of the petitioners for early hearing and disposal of the pending application before DRAT, we direct that the DRAT shall take up the pending Interim Applications in the Miscellaneous Appeal for consideration and disposal as expeditiously as possible and preferably within four weeks from today.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)