

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.3009 OF 2026

Rizwan Ahmed Dawood Momin ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.3010 OF 2026

Shaikh Muhammad Mustaqeem Mastan ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.4570 OF 2026

Sudhakar Laxman Ranshur ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.4572 OF 2026

Momin Ahmed Pasha Abdul Qayyum ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.4574 OF 2026

Anwarali Mohammad Sharif ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.4582 OF 2026

Anees Abdul Majeed Qurashi ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

Mr. F. N. Momin for Petitioner in all the Petitions.

Ms. Tanu Bhatia for Respondent Nos.2 to 4 in all the Petitions.

Ms. P. M. J. Deshpande, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/3009/2026.

Ms. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/3010/2026.

Ms. M. S. Bane, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/4570/2026.

Ms. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/4572/2026.

Ms. Pooja Patil, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/4574/2026.

Mr. R. S. Pawar, AGP for Respondent-State in WP/4582/2026.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : APRIL 17, 2026

P.C. :

. The grievance of the petitioners in these petitions is that, their shops / *galas* were demolished for widening of road and that they have not been paid compensation. The State of Maharashtra and the officers of Bhiwandi Nizampur City Municipal Corporation have been made respondents in these petitions.

2. In all these petitions, the petitioners have submitted representations addressed to the respondent No.2 Municipal Corporation seeking redressal of their grievance.

3. Learned AGPs appear for respondent No.1 and Ms. Bhatia, learned counsel appears on behalf of respondent No.2 - Municipal Corporation and respondent No.3 i.e. the officer of the said Municipal Corporation.

4. We find substance in the contention raised on behalf of the respondent municipal corporation that the representations moved by the petitioners in December 2025 / January 2026 can be decided within a time bound period so that the grievance of the petitioners can be addressed.

5. In view of the above, the writ petitions are disposed of by directing the respondent No.2 to decide the representations submitted by

the petitioners in accordance with law and within a period of four weeks from today.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

Minal Parab