

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1561 OF 2026

Thakubai Kashinath Watpade .. Petitioners
Deceased Thru Lrs

V/S.

The State Of Maharashtra Thru. .. Respondents
Collector Nashik And Ors

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1652 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1988 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1989 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1658 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1987 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1656 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1990 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1563 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1986 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2006 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2007 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2008 OF 2026

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2009 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2010 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2011 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1586 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1588 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1589 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1602 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1590 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1594 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1601 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1593 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.1600 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1598 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1604 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1597 OF 2026
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1596 OF 2026

Ms. Sanika R. Thakare, for the Petitioner in WP/1561/2026.

Mr. Mohan Gawade, for the Petitioner in WP/1652/2026.

Mr. O. A. Chandurkar, Addl GP for the Respondent/State in WP/1652/2026.

Mr. O. A. Chandurkar, Addl GP for the Respondent/State in WP/1561/2026, WP/1652/2026 and WP/1988/2026.

Mr. B. V. Samant, Addl GP for the Respondent/State in WP/1989/2026, WP/1658/2026 and WP/1593/2026.

Ms. G. R. Raghuwanshi, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/1987/2026, WP/1656/2026 and WP/1600/2026.

Ms. Tanu N. Bhatia, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/1990/2026, WP/1563/2026 and WP/1598/2026.

Mr. R. S. Pawar, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/1986/2026, WP/2006/2026 and WP/1604/2026.

Ms. M. S. Bane, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/2007/2026, WP/2008/2026 and WP/1597/2026.

Ms. Pooja Patil, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/2009/2026, WP/2010/2026 and WP/1596/2026.

Ms. P. M. J. Deshpande, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/2011/2026 and WP/1586/2026.

Mr. S. Po. Kamble, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/1588/2026 and WP/1589/2026.

Mr. Y. D. Patil, AGP for the Respondent/State in WP/1602/2026, WP/1590/2026 and WP/1594/2026.

Mr. Sagar Varma (through VC), i/b Saggar Ladda, for Respondent No. 4 – NHAI.

Mr. Prasanjay J. Sahani, for the Respondent- UOI in WP/1563/2026, WP/1658/2026, WP/1986/2026, WP/1988/2026 and WP/1990/2026.

Ms. Apoorva Gupte, a/w Mr. Sachinam Mishra, for the Respondent/UOI in WP/1589/2026, WP/1601/2026, WP/1590/2026 and WP/1586/2026.

Mr. Ashutosh Mishra, a/w Ms. Shazia Ansari for Respondent/UOI in WP/1561/2026, WP/1588/2026, WP/1602/2026, WP/1989/2026, WP/2006/2026, WP/2011/2026, WP/210/2026, WP/2009/2026, WP/2008/2026, WP/2007/2026, WP/1987/2026 and WP/1656/2026.

Mr. Manish Kelkar, for Respondent No. 5 – Union of India in WP/1596/2026, WP/1593/2026, WP/1604/2026 and WP/1597/2026.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : 9TH JUNE 2026.

PC:

1. We are informed that Writ Petition No. 1652 of 2026 has been wrongly listed along with a bunch of other writ petitions at Serial No. 19 in the cause list today.
2. Hence, Writ Petition No. 1652 of 2026 is detagged and taken up for consideration. The other petitions in this bunch are adjourned to 12/06/2026.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner in Writ Petition No. 1652 of 2026.
4. The writ petition has been filed on an apprehension that the names of the petitioners are likely to be deleted or removed from the revenue record (7/12 extract). In that context, the learned counsel for the petitioners has invited the attention of this Court to the document at Exhibit C dated 31/12/2025. In the said document/letter addressed to the Respondent No. 5 - Talathi, Taluka Junnar, Dist. Pune, the Petitioner No. 1 has stated that he was “orally” informed that the names of the petitioners are likely to be deleted or removed from the 7/12 extract concerning the subject land. It is alleged that principles of natural justice are not being followed and this would amount to violation of the rights of the petitioners under Article 300A

of the Constitution of India.

5. Having taken into consideration the statements made in the writ petition and upon perusal of the documents filed with the writ petition, we find that the instant petition is misdirected, for the reason that it is filed on a mere apprehension that the names of the petitioners are likely to be deleted or removed from the revenue record (7/12 extract). There does not appear to be any concrete material to support such an apprehension expressed by the petitioners.

6. Such a writ petition filed merely on apprehensions and in anticipation, without there being any cause of action, cannot be entertained.

7. In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed.

8. Nonetheless, we are of the opinion that the respondent – State authorities will indeed follow the prescribed procedure of law, in the event any action is to be undertaken with regard to the apprehension expressed by the petitioners.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)