

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 685 OF 2026**

M/s. Kapole Advertising Agency & Ors.                      ...      Petitioners  
Versus  
Standard Chartered Bank & Ors.                      ...      Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

Adv. Kruti Bhavsar a/w Pratik Barot and Angel Pandey for the  
Petitioners.

Mr. R. L. Motwani for Respondent No.1-Bank.

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE AND  
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

**DATE : 12<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2026**

**P.C. :**

. In this petition, on 5<sup>th</sup> March 2026, while directing listing of the petition today for further consideration, we had specifically directed to include it in the supplementary list. Yet, the petition is listed in the main list at serial No.93. The direction issued by this Court has not been complied with by the Registry.

2. The Registry is directed to be careful in future and ensure that such directions are complied with, as there is urgency in such matters.

3. We were inclined to list this petition for consideration at 3:00 p.m. today, when the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that an opportunity may be granted to file an additional

affidavit, in the light of copy of report of the Assistant Registrar of National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai being received yesterday evening. The learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1-bank also submits that an additional affidavit can be placed on record.

4. In view of the above, the petitioners as well as respondent No.1-bank are permitted to file additional affidavits on or before 18<sup>th</sup> March 2026.

5. List the petition for further consideration on 24<sup>th</sup> March 2026, to be included in the supplementary list.

6. Since we have directed the listing of this petition in the supplementary list, we expect the Registry to ensure compliance.

**(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)**

**(MANISH PITALE, J.)**