



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

39 ALP/391/2017

**PUSHPA ARUN MANE
VS
ROHINI SUDHAKAR GAIKWAD AND ANR**

**WITH
IA/3961/2025
IN
ALP/391/2017**

**VIIMAL VISHNU YADAV
VS.
ROHINI SUDHAKAR GAIKWAD AND ANR**

Adv. Pranav Bhoite for applicant.
Adv. Kirthika i/b. Adv. Ajay Joshi for respondents.

CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 21 JANUARY 2026.

P.C. :

IA/3961/2025 IN ALP/391/2017

1) By the present interim application, the applicant who is the original complainant in Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act is seeking to amend the criminal application filed in ALP by bringing on record the legal heirs of the original complainant.

2) Though time was granted to the contesting party the original

accused to file reply to the interim application, till date no reply has been filed.

3) I have heard learned counsel for both sides and I have gone through the contents of the interim application. I am convinced that the interim application requires to be allowed.

4) The interim application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (b1).

5) Amendment to be carried out within a period of two weeks from today.

(Rajesh S. Patil, J.)