

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.3626 OF 2025

1. Bijal Jay Mukhi
Aged: 45 Years,
Occ. Housewife.
Having address at :
18/B/7, Sindhi Colony,
Opp. SIES College, Sion,
Mumbai

2. Jasmine Bharat Adalja
Aged : 70 Years,
Occ. Housewife
Having address at :
Flat No.2, Gopal Niwas,
Opp. Best Depot,
Sion, Mumbai.

... Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra
@ Sr. Inspector of Police, Naupada Police
Station, Thane

... Respondent

Mr. Manohar H. Ramsinghani a/w Ms. Rashmi Joshi, Mr. Kantilal Behwal, Mr. Abhishek Jadhav and Mr. Uday P. Shah for the Applicants.

Mr. Ashok Gavai, A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Dattatray Yadav, Asst. Police Inspector, attached to Naupada Police Station, Thane.

CORAM : SANDESH D. PATIL, J.

DATE : 2nd JANUARY 2026.
(VACATION COURT)

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Manohar Ramsinghani, learned Counsel appearing for the Applicants and Mr. Ashok Gavai, learned A.P.P. for the Respondent-State.
2. By the present Anticipatory Bail Application, the Applicants seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with the F.I.R. No.0697 of 2025, registered on 3rd November 2025, with the Naupada Police Station, Thane, under the provisions of 316(2), 318(4) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (“**BNS**”).
3. The case of the Complainant is that the during the period from 8th June 2016 to 28th December 2024, when the Complainant was lodged in Ahmedabad Central Prison, Sabarmati, Gujrat, his wife Smt. Bijal Jay Mukhi and her mother Smt. Jasmine Bharat Adalja, got Smt.

Jasmine Bharat Adalja, appointed as Additional Director in M/s Infinity Logistics Private Limited. Thereafter they in collusion with each other, sold the property and thus, have duped the Complainant.

4. Mr. Manohar H. Ramsinghani, learned Counsel for the Applicants states that the agreement in question, is signed by the Applicants themselves in their own capacity. He states that the appointment of the Applicant No.2 as Additional Director, is not challenged by the Complainant before the Competent Authority.

5. Mr. Ramsinghani, states that the transfer was effected by the Applicants in the capacity as Directors of M/s Infinity Logistics Private Limited, hence, there is no question of commission of offence under Sections 316(2) and 318(4) of the BNS, arises.

6. Mr. Ashok Gavai, learned A.P.P. for the Respondent-State, on instructions from Mr. Dattatray Yadav, Asst. Police Inspector, seeks time to take instructions and file an Affidavit, if required. The

Complainant was also present in the Court and he also seeks time to engage an Advocate. The Complainant is identified by the Officer, Mr. Dattatray Yadav, who is present in Court.

7. I have perused the papers. The premises in question were transferred by the Applicants in the capacity as Directors of M/s Infinity Logistics Private Limited. There appears to be a dispute between the parties regarding directorship of the Company. No documents were pointed out to me, which show that the Applicants are not Directors of the Company.

8. In this premise, the Applicants need to be protected for a brief period till the learned A.P.P. receives the instructions. Hence, the following Order is passed.

ORDER

- i. In event of arrest of the Applicants in connection with offence registered under Sections 316(2), 318(4), read with 3(5) of the BNS, *vide* F.I.R. No.0697 of 2025 dated

3rd November 2025, registered with Naupada Police Station, Thane, the Applicants be released on executing PR bond of Rs.25,000/- each with one or more sureties of the like amount.

ii. **The Applicant No.1 shall attend** the Naupada Police Station, Thane, on 07/01/2026 and 14/01/2026 from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and thereafter as and when called by the I.O.

iii. The Applicants shall not tamper with the evidence/witnesses in any manner.

9. To enable to learned A.P.P. to take instructions, list the matter on **19th January, 2026** for further consideration.

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.)