S.S.Kilaje

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 4920 OF 2025

Madhavrao Ramchandra Kahandal … Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra … Respondent

Ms. Pushpa Ganediwala a/w. Mr. Sameer Suryavanshi, Mr. Pradynmna Agrawal and Mr. Ishan Agrawal i/b. Mr. Sameer Suryavanshi, Advocates for the Applicant.

Smt. Ranjana D. Humane, APP for the Respondent-State.

Mr. S.B.Deore, Advocate for the Intervenor.

SONALI SATISH KILAJE
Digitally signed by SONALI SATISH KILAJE
Date: 2026.02.07 10:16:27 +0700

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 5th FEBRUARY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. By this application, the Applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R.No. 229 of 2025 registered with Aadgaon Police Station, Nashik, for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 333, 351(3), 352, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. It is prosecution's case that on 02.07.2025, the co-accused assaulted the first informant with weapons with intention to kill him. It is alleged that the said assault was done on the say of the applicant as the first informant is lawyer who had taken up a case against the applicant.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that the name of the applicant is not mentioned in FIR. After four days of the incident, applicant's name was mentioned as conspirator in supplementary

statement. The applicant has no antecedents. He is behind bars for more than six months. There is no progress in the trial and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP along with learned counsel for respondent No.2 that the applicant is the main culprit. He instigated the co-accused to assault the first informant who is lawyer with intention to kill him. In the said assault, first informant was seriously injured. If the applicant is released on bail, he may threaten prosecution witnesses and requested to reject the application.

5. It is contention of learned counsel for respondent No.2 that applicant had paid money to the co-accused for the said attack. The said co-accused is still absconding. The incident is captured in CCTV camera, which shows the first informant being brutally assaulted and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard all the learned counsel. Perused chargesheet and documents produced on record. The name of the applicant is mentioned in supplementary statement after four months of the incident. Investigation is completed. Chargesheet has been filed. To prove the role of the applicant evidence is required. The applicant is behind bars for more than six months. Considering these facts, I pass following order.

S.S.Kilaje

ORDER

i. The applicant be enlarged on bail in C.R.No. 229 of 2025 registered with Aadgaon Police Station, Nashik, on executing P.R.Bond of Rs. 30,000/- on furnishing one or two sureties in the like amount.

ii. The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.

iii. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the complainant, witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

iv. The applicant shall not enter in the jurisdiction of Aadgaon Police Station, Nashik, till recording evidence of first informant.

6. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed of. All pending applications, if any, disposed of.

7. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

8. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)