S.S.Kilaje

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 4912 OF 2025

Anil S/o. Tukaram Mohite … Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra … Respondent

Mr. Zaid A. Qureshi, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms. Mahalaxmi Ganpathy, APP for Respondent-State.

SONALI SATISH KILAJE Digitally signed by SONALI SATISH KILAJE Date: 2026.02.06 17:26:54 +0700

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATE : 5th FEBRUARY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. By this application, the applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R.No. 209 of 2025 registered with Paud Police Station, Pune, for the offences punishable under Sections 3(5), 61 and 55 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 3(25) of Arms Act.

2. It is prosecution's case that on 14.04.2025 the applicant and co-accused hatched conspiracy to kill the first informant.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is behind bars for around six months. The co-accused having similar allegations has been released on bail and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the applicant has criminal

antecedents. The applicant hatched conspiracy to kill the first informant with other accused. The pistols were procured for said attack. If the applicant is released on bail, he may abscond or threaten prosecution witnesses. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both the learned counsel. Perused chargesheet and documents produced on record. The co-accused having similar allegations have been released on bail. The applicant is behind bars for more than six. Considering these facts, I pass following order.

ORDER

i. The applicant be enlarged on bail in C.R.No. 209 of 2025 registered with Paud Police Station, Pune, on executing P.R.Bond of Rs. 30,000/- on furnishing one or two sureties in the like amount.

ii. The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.

iii. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the complainant, witnesses or any person concerned with the case.

6. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed of. All pending applications, if any, disposed of.

7. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for

S.S.Kilaje

the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.

8. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)