

Tikam

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 4213 OF 2025

VAISHALI
ANIL
TIKAM

Digitally signed
by VAISHALI
ANIL TIKAM
Date:
2026.04.20
14:06:54 +0530

Manish @ Mansukhbhai Purushottam Patel
@ Umretiya
VERSUS
State Of Maharashtra

...Applicant

...Respondent

Mr. Nitin Gaware Patil a/w. Mr. Harsh Rathod, Advocate for Applicant.
Smt. R.D. Humane, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.
DATE : 16th APRIL, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.
2. By this application, the Applicant is seeking regular bail in Crime No. 49 of 2025 registered with Bhosari MIDC Police Station, Dist. Pune, for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 437, 468, 471 r/w. 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC').
3. It is prosecution's case that Applicant and co-accused lured the first informant of sanctioning of Rs.100 crore loan amount and obtained Rs.1.20 lacs as processing fee. It is alleged that applicant and co-accused prepared the forged documents and sms showing sanctioning of Rs.100 crore.

Tikam

4. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that as per FIR the amount of Rs.100 crore was transferred in the account of co-accused Hasmukh and Mahakali. The allegations against the applicant that he was conspired with the co-accused. The co-accused, having similar allegations, have been released on bail. Hence Applicant is entitled for bail on the principle of parity. Applicant has no antecedents and requested to allow the application.

5. It is contention of learned APP that there are specific allegations against the Applicant in FIR. He met the first applicant and lured him of sanctioning of Rs.100 crore. Applicant is resident of Gujarat. If he is released on bail, he may abscond and requested to reject the application. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that to show bonafide, applicant has deposited Rs.5,00,000/- before the trial Court.

6. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused the charge-sheet and documents produced on record.

7. From the FIR it appears that the amount of Rs.60 lacs each was transferred in the bank account of co-accused Hasmukhbhai Kavadi and Mahakali. Applicant has no antecedents. The co-accused having similar allegations like the applicant have been released on bail. Hence Applicant is entitled for bail on principle of parity.

8. Considering these facts, I pass following order:

Tikam

ORDER

- (i) The Applicant- Manish @ Mansukhbhai Purushottam Patel be released on bail in Crime No. 49 of 2025 registered with Bhosari MIDC Police Station, Dist. Pune, on furnishing PR bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.
- (ii) The Applicant shall not tamper with the evidence and/or influence the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits and in accordance with law, uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.
- (iv) The Applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when required.
- (v) Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)