

Shivgan

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2746 OF 2025

Vinod Pratapsingh Girase	...Applicant
<i>Versus</i>	
Union Of India And Anr.	...Respondents

Mr. Murtaza Najmi, with Archana Gaikwad, Farida Najmi, Nancy Kanungo, Ravi Dawre and Eramnish Shaikh, for the Applicant.

Ms. Neha Patil, SPP for the Respondent No.1-Union of India.

Mr. R. M. Pethe, APP for the Respondent No.2-State.

CORAM: R. M. JOSHI, J.
DATED: 23rd APRIL, 2026.

PC:-

1. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that there is no evidence in the charge-sheet in order to connect the Applicant with this Crime except for the statement of the witness - Naresh. It is argued that the said statement came to be recorded after five months of he allegedly seen the Applicant in the office of courier company. In any case, it is his submission that mere presence of the Applicant in the courier company by itself, is not an incriminating circumstance. According to him, there is no evidence to show that phone number appearing in the panchanama belongs to the Applicant. It is also argued that there is no acknowledgment indicating grounds of arrest being furnished to the Applicant nor the grounds were communicated to the relatives of the Applicant.

2. The learned Special Public Prosecution seeks time to respond to the said arguments.

3. At her request, stand over to **29th April 2026**.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

SHAMBHAVI
NILESH
SHIVGAN

Digitally signed by
SHAMBHAVI
NILESH SHIVGAN
Date: 2026.04.23
18:50:40 +0530