

Prasad

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1850 OF 2025

Fahad Shabbir Ali Shaikh

...Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Mr. Khan Sherali Shakhbagul, for the Applicant.

Ms. Shilpa K. Gajre-Dhumal, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM: R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATED: 25th MARCH, 2026.

PC:-

1. Learned counsel for the Applicant submits that apart from non-compliance of Sections 42 and 50 of the NDPS Act, herein this case the grounds of arrest are not communicated to the Applicant. He submits that the Applicant came to be arrested on 22nd December 2023, and therefore in view of the judgment of the *Pankaj Bansal v. Union of India*¹, the said compliance was mandatory as on the date of arrest of the Applicant.

2. Learned APP seeks time to respond to the said argument.

3. At her request, stand over to **30th March, 2026**. Part Heard.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

¹ 2023 INSC 866