

Shubhada S Kadam

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1808 OF 2025

Bandya @ Purushottam Rajendra Veer

versus

The State of Maharashtra

Mr. Kunal Aher, Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. Ranjana D. Humane, APP for Respondent-State.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 3rd FEBRUARY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned APP for respondent-State.
2. Learned APP has tendered affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is behind bars for more than four years and six months, yet charge has not been framed. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicant was not produced for a single day before the Trial Court during the period of this four years and six months.
4. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the applicant, call report from the Superintendent of Yerwada Central Jail why

Shubhada S Kadam

the applicant was not produced before the Court and why action should not be taken against him.

5. The Director General of Prisons shall file report before this Court on or before next date of hearing stating how many prisoners were not produced before the Court for more than six months.

6. Report be also called from the concerned Judge as to why charge is not framed against the applicant and co-accused when both of them are behind bars for more than four years and six months.

7. The Registrar (Judicial-I) to communicate this order to the concerned Judge and concerned authorities as directed in paragraphs 4 & 5 of the order by next date.

8. Stand over to 18th February 2026.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)