

S.S.Kilaje

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1620 OF 2025**

Enjamul Hak Sanulla Shaikh

... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

... Respondent

Digitally signed
by SONALI
SATISH
KILAJE
Date: 2026.01.17
13:51:11
+0700

Mr. Sachin Deokar a/w. Mr. Vignesh Ashokan and Ms. Priya A. Patil,
Advocates for the Applicant.

Smt. Ranjana D. Humane, APP for the Respondent-State.

API -P.G.Gajjewar, Wakad Police Station, Pune, present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 14th JANUARY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. By this application, the Applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R.No. 651 of 2023 registered with Wakad Police Station, Pune for the offence punishable under Sections 307, 353, 395, 398, 458, 511 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short "IPC"), Section 4 and 25 of the Arms Act and Section 37(1)(3) read with 135 of the Maharashtra Police Act.

2. It is prosecution's case that on 08.07.2023, some unknown persons tried to rob the bank by breaking open the door of the said bank. When first informant and police personnel tried to prevent them, they assaulted them with sharp weapon with an intention to kill them and thereafter ran away. It is alleged that applicant was one of those eight

S.S.Kilaje

persons, who tried to rob the bank.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is behind bars for more than two years. There is no progress in the trial. The applicant was arrested in connection of the other crime and thereafter chargesheeted in the present case. No identification parade is conducted against the applicant after his arrest. There is no recovery at the instance of the Applicant. It may take time to conclude the trial and requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP that the Applicant has two antecedents. He attempted to rob the bank. When police personnel prevented him, applicant and co-accused tried to assault the police personnel with an intention to kill them. If applicant is released on bail, he may abscond and requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused chargesheet and documents produced on record. There is no recovery at the instance of the applicant. No identification parade of the applicant is conducted after his arrest. The applicant is behind bars for more than two years. There is no progress in the trial. Investigation is completed. Chargesheet has been filed. In view of above, I pass following order.

ORDER

- i. The Applicant is released on bail in C.R.No. 651 of 2023

S.S.Kilaje

registered with Wakad Police Station, Pune , on executing P.R.Bond of Rs.30,000/-, on furnishing one or two sureties in the like amount.

- ii. The Applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when required.
 - iii. The Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or attempt to influence the witnesses. The Applicant shall not contact the complainant, witnesses or any other person concerned with present case.
 - iv. The Trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations made in this order and shall decide the matter on its own merits and in accordance with law.
6. The Bail Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed of.
7. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)