

S.S.Kilaje

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 661 OF 2025**

Deepak Gobri Yadav

... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Rajabhau S. Chaudhari i/b. Mr. Parmeshwar Shendge, Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Ranjana D. Humane, APP for the State.

Ms. Vilasini Balasubramanian, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

SONALI
SATISH
KILAJE

Digitally signed
by SONALI
SATISH KILAJE
Date:
2026.01.21
18:33:45 +0700

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 19th JANUARY, 2026.

P.C. :

1. By this application, the Applicant is seeking regular bail in C.R.No. 154 of 2024 registered with Shirgaon Parandwadi Police Station, Pune, for the offence punishable under Sections 307, 366A, 368, 107, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short “IPC”) and Sections 7, 12, 17 and 18 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (for short “POCSO Act”).

2. It is prosecution’s case that the applicant had love affair with the victim and at the instance of the applicant, victim came to Pune, where co-accused attempted to sell her for prostitution.

3. It is contention of learned counsel for the applicant that at the

S.S.Kilaje

time of incident, the applicant was not present. The applicant had love affair with the victim, he had sent the victim to Pune with good intention. The co-accused attempted to sell her for prostitution. The applicant had no role in it. The applicant has no antecedents. The applicant is behind bars for one year and six months. There is no progress in the trial. Hence, requested to allow the application.

4. It is contention of learned APP along with learned counsel for respondent No.2 that the applicant is a main culprit. The applicant had love affair with the minor victim. At the instance of the applicant, victim came to Pune where co-accused had attempted to sell her. They tried to kill her. There is involvement of the applicant in the crime. If the applicant is released on bail, he may threaten prosecution witnesses and victim. Hence, requested to reject the application.

5. I have heard both the learned counsel. Perused chargesheet and documents produced on record. The allegations against the applicant are that he had sent the victim to Pune. It appears that main allegations are against the co-accused who had attempted to sell victim for prostitution and they tried to kill her. To show involvement of the applicant in the crime, evidence is required. The applicant is behind bars for one year and six months. There is no progress in the trial. The applicant has no antecedents. Considering these facts, I pass following

S.S.Kilaje

order.

ORDER

- i. The applicant be enlarged on bail in C.R.No. 154 of 2024 registered with Shirgaon Parandwadi Police Station, Pune, on executing P.R.Bond of Rs.30,000/- on furnishing one or two sureties in the like amount.
 - ii. The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required.
 - iii. The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence or attempt to influence or contact the complainant, witnesses or any person concerned with the case.
6. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and is accordingly disposed of. All pending applications, if any, disposed of.
7. It is made clear that the above observations are made only for the purpose of granting bail and the Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits in accordance with law and uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.
8. All concerned to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)