

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6747 OF 2025

Neetu Vishal Pinjani & Ors

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

Ms. Minal Chandnani a/w Mr. Rajesh Ranglani, for the
Petitioners.

Smt. R. S. Tendulkar, APP for the Respondent-State.

API – M. T. Shinde, Pimpri P. S. is present.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : 8th JANUARY 2026

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners.
2. The learned Counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the petition with liberty to file a revision application before the Court of Sessions.
3. Leave granted with liberty as prayed for.
4. The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a revision application before the Session Court.
5. The time spent by the petitioner in prosecuting the petition may be accounted for, under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, in the event the question of limitation arises.

6. It is clarified that, this Court has not entered into the merits of the matter.

7. In the event such a revision application is filed, the learned Sessions Judge is requested to hear and decide the application, on its own merits and in accordance with law

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]