

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 6574 OF 2025

Lovina Cabrol

... Petitioner

Versus

Siddharth Bharti and Ors.

... Respondents

Mr. Prashant Chande i/b Ms. Minal Doshi, for the Petitioner.

Ms. Supriya Kak, A.P.P., for the Respondent No.2-State.

Mr. Siddharth Bharati, Respondent No.1, present in person through
V.C.

Lovina Cabrol, Petitioner, present in Court.

CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 24th APRIL, 2026.

P.C.:

1. With great difficulty the Respondent No.1 could be served. Today Respondent No.1 appears through video conferencing. The grievance of the Petitioner is that she had not seen her children i.e. the son aged seven years and daughter aged four years since September, 2025. The Respondent No.1 who appears through video conferencing

makes a statement that he is taking both the children to Goa day after tomorrow. The Respondent No1's son will be appearing for the examination in the extended time granted by the school. The examination will be going on for nearly 10 days and atleast till 05/05/2026. The statement is recorded. Respondent No.1 also makes a statement that he has no objection if the Petitioner meets the children in the school premises after the examination hours are over. The Petitioner can meet the children on those days for three hours in the school premises. The statement is recorded and accepted. This meeting can take place till the examination is going on. For further consideration, we are posting the matters beyond vacation i.e. 12/06/2026. The matter shall be further considered depending on the prevailing situation then.

2. Respondent No.1 sought help of Legal Aid Counsel. In the interest of justice, the Legal Services Authority of this Court is requested to appoint a suitable Advocate from their Panel to represent the Respondent No.1. It is made clear that the Respondent No.1 shall

follow-up with the Legal Services Authority of this Court so that the matter can proceed on the next date. It is made clear that since the Respondent No.1 has appeared through video conferencing and made certain statements, no further notices at this stage are required to be sent to him. The Respondent No.1 shall share the schedule of the examination of his son through email. Respondent No.1 already knows the Petitioner's email, as stated by him.

3. Stand over to 12th June, 2026 for further consideration.

(SANDESH D. PATIL, J.)

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)