

Santosh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 688 OF 2026

Oberoi Realty Limited Director Vikas Ranvir
Oberoi

...Petitioner

Versus

Mr. Noel Lakra And Ors

...Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 689 OF 2026

Oberoi Realty Limited Director Vikas Ranvir
Oberoi

...Petitioner

Versus

Mr. Noel Lakra And Ors

...Respondents

Mr. Amod Ekhaspur, for the Petitioner in both WP.

Ms. Rajalakshmy Mohandas, a/w Mukta Chorge, Divyani
Sheram and Nehal Azam, i/b Rajalakshmy Associates, for
Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. A. R. Metkari, APP for the State.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATED: 20th FEBRUARY, 2026

PC:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the parties.
2. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner has not at all acquired Nirmal Lifestyle Limited and the petitioner has been unnecessarily dragged in the complaints, which arise out of transactions with Nirmal Lifestyle Limited.

SANTOSH
SUBHASH
KULKARNI

Digitally signed by
SANTOSH SUBHASH
KULKARNI
Date: 2026.02.21
13:29:36 +0530

3. The learned Counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 – original complainants submits that inadvertently the complainants have sought impleadment of the petitioner as an accused in CC No.SS/930/2018 and CC No.SS/2484/2018. The learned Counsel, on instructions, submits that, respondent Nos.1 and 2 – original complainants have no objection to allow the petitions in terms of prayer clause (A).

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions, both the petitions stand allowed in terms of prayer clause (A).

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]